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#### Abstract

Large, high-quality datasets are crucial for training Large Language Models (LLMs). However, so far, there are few datasets available for specialized critical domains such as law and the available ones are often only for the English language. We curate and release MULTiLEGALPILE, a 689GB corpus in 24 languages from 17 jurisdictions. The MultiLegalPile corpus, which includes diverse legal data sources with varying licenses, allows for pretraining NLP models under fair use, with more permissive licenses for the Eurlex Resources and Legal mC 4 subsets. We pretrain two RoBERTa models and one Longformer multilingually, and 24 monolingual models on each of the language-specific subsets and evaluate them on LEXTREME. Additionally, we evaluate the English and multilingual models on LexGLUE. Our multilingual models set a new SotA on LEXTREME and our English models on LexGLUE. We release the dataset, the trained models, and all of the code under the most open possible licenses.


## 1. Introduction

Recent years have seen LLMs achieving remarkable progress, as demonstrated by their performance on various benchmarks such as SuperGLUE (Wang et al., 2019), MMLU (Hendrycks et al., 2021), and several human Exams (OpenAI, 2023), including U.S. bar exams for admission to practicing the law (Katz et al., 2023). These models are typically trained on increasingly large corpora, such as the Pile (Gao et al., 2020a), C4 (Raffel et al., 2020b), and mC 4 (Xue et al., 2021). However, it is important to note that public corpora available for training these models are predominantly in English, and often constitute web text with unclear licensing. This even led to lawsuits against
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Figure 1. MULTILEGALPILE Source Distribution
LLM producers, highlighting this critical issue. Furthermore, there is a scarcity of large-scale, domain-specific pretraining corpora, which constitutes a significant gap in the current body of resources available for the training of LLMs. Similarly, LLMs are predominantly English, especially considering domain-specific models, e.g., ones specialized in biomedical, legal, or financial texts.

Legal texts, often produced by public instruments (e.g., state governments, international organizations), are typically available under public licenses, offering a rich resource for domain-specific pretraining. Given this context, we curate a humongous, openly available, corpus of multilingual law text spanning across numerous jurisdictions (legal systems), predominantly under permissive licenses.

Further on, we continue pretraining XLM-R models (Conneau \& Lample, 2019) on our corpus and evaluated these models on the recently introduced LEXTREME (Niklaus et al., 2023) and LexGLUE (Chalkidis et al., 2021e) benchmarks. Given the often extensive nature of legal text, we also pretrained a Longformer model (Beltagy et al., 2020) for comparison with hierarchical models (Chalkidis et al., 2019b; Niklaus et al., 2021; 2022).

Our multilingual models set new SotA on LEXTREME overall. Our legal Longformer outperforms all other models in four LEXTREME datasets and reaches the highest dataset aggregate score. Our monolingual models outperform their
base model XLM-R in 21 out of 24 languages, even reaching language specific SotA in five. On LexGLUE our English models reach SotA in five out of seven tasks with the large model achieving the highest aggregate score.
In the spirit of open science, we provide the dataset under a CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license, with some subsets licensed more permissively. Dataset creation scripts, models, and pretraining code are public under Apache 2.0 licenses. This open-source approach encourages further research and advancements in the field of legal text analysis and understanding using large language models.

## Contributions

The contributions of this paper are three-fold:

1. We curate and release a large scale multilingual legal text corpus, dubbed MultiLegalPile, ${ }^{1}$ covering 24 languages and 17 legal systems (jurisdictions).
2. We release 2 multilingual and 24 monolingual new legaloriented PLMs, dubbed LEGALXLMs, warm-started from the XLM-R (Conneau \& Lample, 2019) models, and further pretrained on the MultiLegalPile. Additionally, we pretrain a Longformer (Beltagy et al., 2020) based on our multilingual base-size model on context lengths of up to 4096 tokens.
3. We benchmark the newly released models on the LEXTREME and LexGLUE benchmarks, achieving new SotA for base and large size models and increasing performance drastically in Greek legal code. Our Longformer model reaches SotA in four tasks and the highest dataset aggregate score. Our monolingual models set language specific SotA in five languages.

## 2. Related Work

### 2.1. General Pretraining Corpora

The use of pretrained Language Models (PLMs) has become increasingly popular in NLP tasks, particularly with the advent of models such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) that can be finetuned for specific applications. One key factor in the success of pretraining is the availability of large and diverse text corpora, which can help the model learn the nuances of natural language. In the following, we discuss large-scale general-purpose text corpora used for pretraining.
Wikipedia is a commonly used multilingual dataset for pretraining language models, and has been used to pretrain BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), MegatronBERT (Shoeybi et al., 2020), T5 (Raffel et al., 2020a), and GPT-3 (Brown et al., 2020b), among others.

Based on Wikipedia, Merity et al. (2016) created WikiText

[^1]by selecting articles fitting the Good or Featured article criteria. The dataset contains 103 M words and has two versions: WikiText2 and the larger WikiText103. It has been used to pretrain models like MegatronBERT (Shoeybi et al., 2020) and GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019).
The BookCorpus (Zhu et al., 2015), also known as the Toronto Books Corpus, is an English dataset used for pretraining BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019), and T5 (Raffel et al., 2020a). It consists of almost 1 B words from over 11 K books collected from the web.

The Common Crawl corpus is a publicly available multilingual dataset of scraped web pages, regularly updated with new "snapshots". It has been used to pretrain GPT-3 (Brown et al., 2020b) as well as XLM-R (Conneau et al., 2020a). One significant drawback of Common Crawl is the presence of uncleaned data, which includes a considerable amount of "gibberish or boiler-plate text like menus, error messages, or duplicate text" (Raffel et al., 2020a). As a result, utilizing the Common Crawl dataset necessitates additional post-filtering and cleaning procedures. To address this issue, Raffel et al. (Raffel et al., 2020a) performed several cleaning steps on the April 2019 snapshot of Common Crawl, resulting in the creation of the Colossal Clean Crawled Corpus (C4), comprising 750 GB of English-language text. It was used for pretraining models such as T5 (Raffel et al., 2020a) and Switch Transformer (Fedus et al., 2022).

OpenWebText (Gokaslan \& Cohen, 2019) openly replicates OpenAI's closed English WebText dataset (Radford et al., 2019), used to pretrain GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019). WebText comprises over 8 M documents with a combined text size of 40 GB . To ensure data uniqueness, any documents sourced from Wikipedia were excluded from WebText, as they are commonly utilized in other datasets. OpenWebText, on the other hand, consists of 38 GB of text data from 8 M documents and was used for pretraining RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) and MegatronBERT (Shoeybi et al., 2020).

News articles are also a common source for pretraining corpora. The RealNews dataset (Zellers et al., 2019) is a large corpus extracted from Common Crawl, containing news articles from December 2016 to March 2019 (training) and April 2019 (evaluation), totaling 120 GB. It was used for pretraining MegatronBERT (Shoeybi et al., 2020). For pretraining RoBERTa, Liu et al. (2019) used an English subset of RealNews, comprising 63M English news articles crawled from September 2016 to February 2019.

The rise of LLMs brought about the creation of ever larger training datasets. The Pile (Gao et al., 2020b) combines 22 distinct, well-curated datasets, such as Wikipedia (English), OpenWebText2 (Gokaslan \& Cohen, 2019), OpenSubtitles (Tiedemann, 2016) etc., encompassing 825 GB of data. Besides general-purpose textual datasets, it also
contains domain-specific datasets, such as ArXiv (Science), FreeLaw (Legal), PubMed Abstracts (Biomedicine), and GitHub data (to improve code-related task performance (Gao et al., 2020b)). GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019) and GPT-3 (Brown et al., 2020b) were evaluated on this dataset.
In their work, Touvron et al. (2023) compiled a substantial dataset from various publicly available sources, including CommonCrawl, C4, Github, Wikipedia, etc., totaling 1.4T tokens. They trained the 13B-parameter LLaMA model using this dataset, surpassing the performance of the 175Bparameter GPT-3 on most benchmark tasks. However, the dataset itself is not publicly available. To address this, a collaborative effort resulted in the creation of the RedPajama-Data-1T dataset, replicating LLaMA's dataset with a similar size of 1.2 T tokens.

Some of the afore-mentioned datasets, such as Common Crawl, are used to pretrain multilingual versions of BERT, DistilBERT, RoBERTa etc. These models were pretrained on datasets that cover approximately 100 languages, thereby neglecting low-resource languages. ImaniGooghari et al. (2023) addressed this by compiling Glot500, a 700 GB dataset covering 500 diverse languages, with a focus on low-resource ones. The Glot500-m model, pretrained on this dataset, outperformed the XLM-RoBERTa base model on six out of seven tasks.

### 2.2. Domain Specific Corpora

While pretraining on general-purpose text like Wikipedia and news articles shows promise, evidence suggests that pretraining on domain-specific text can enhance language model performance on related tasks (Beltagy et al., 2019; Gu et al., 2021; Chalkidis et al., 2020b; Niklaus \& Giofré, 2022). Domain-specific text corpora include texts specific to fields like medicine, law, or science.

Several studies have examined pretraining on scientific text corpora. Beltagy et al. (2019) pretrained SciBERT, a BERTbased model, on a random subset of 1.14 M papers sourced from Semantic Scholar. This collection comprises 18\% of computer science papers and $82 \%$ of papers from the broader biomedical field. Similarly, PubMed and PubMedCentral are common sources for biomedical datasets. Gu et al. (2021) trained PubMedBERT using PubMed abstracts and PubMedCentral articles; BioBERT (Lee et al., 2020) was pretrained similarly. Johnson et al. (2016) compiled the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care III (MIMICIII) dataset, a large single-center database of critical care patients. Huang et al. (2019) used over 2 million de-identified clinical notes from this dataset to pretrain ClinicalBERT. These models outperformed general-purpose models on biomedical NLP tasks.
In the legal domain, similar strategies are observed.

Chalkidis et al. (2020a) collected 12 GB of diverse English legal texts, including legislation, court cases, and contracts. They pretrained LegalBERT on this dataset, showing state-of-the-art performance, especially in tasks requiring domain knowledge. Another study by Zheng et al. (2021) used the entire English Harvard Law case corpus (1965-2021) comprising 37 GB of text to pretrain CaseLaw-BERT.
Recently, Chalkidis* et al. (2023) released LexFiles, an English legal corpus with 11 sub-corpora covering legislation and case law from six English-speaking legal systems (EU, Council of Europe, Canada, US, UK, India). The corpus contains approx. 6M documents or approx. 19B tokens. They trained two new legal English PLMs, showing improved performance in legal probing and classification tasks.

Efforts to pretrain legal language models also exist for Italian (Licari \& Comandè, 2022), Romanian (Masala et al., 2021), and Spanish (Gutiérrez-Fandiño et al., 2021). However, English dominates, underscoring the importance of compiling multilingual legal corpora.

| Model | Domain | Languages | Size in \# Words |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SciBERT (Beltagy et al., 2019) | scientific | English | 2.38 B (3.17B tokens) |
| Galactica (Taylor et al., 2022) | scientific | English | 79.5B (106B tokens) |
| BioBERT (Lee et al., 2019) | biomedical | English | 18B |
| LegalBERT (Chalkidis et al., 2020b) | legal | English | 1.44 B (11.5GB) |
| CaselawBERT (Zheng et al., 2021) | legal | English | 4.63 B (37GB) |
| LegalXLMs (ours) | legal | 24 EU langs | 87B (689GB) |

Table 1. Previous domain specific pretraining corpora. For some corpora only GB or tokens were available. We converted 8 GB into 1 B words and 1 token to 0.75 words.

Table 1 compares previous domain-specific corpora, all in English. In terms of size, none reach the MultiLegalPile proposed here.

## 3. MultilegalPile

### 3.1. Construction

We transformed all datasets into xz compressed JSON Lines (JSONL) format. The combination of XZ compression and JSONL is ideal for streaming large datasets due to reduced file size and efficient decompression and reading.

Filtering mC4 We employed the vast multilingual web crawl corpus, mC4 (Xue et al., 2021), as our foundation. To effectively filter this corpus for legal content, we utilized regular expressions to identify documents with legal references. We found that detecting legal citations, such as references to laws and rulings, served as a reliable indicator of legal-specific documents in the corpus.
In order to ensure the accuracy of our filtering, we engaged legal experts to aid in identifying citations to laws and rulings across different jurisdictions and languages. We manually reviewed the precision of the retrieved documents for five languages, namely German, English, Spanish, French,

| Iteration | German | English | Spanish | French | Italian |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1st | $100 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| 2nd | $100 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $95 \%$ |

Table 2. Precision of investigated languages in legal mC4 ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ )
and Italian, as shown in Table 2. The proficiency levels of the evaluators included native German, fluent English and Spanish, intermediate French, and basic Italian.
Subsequent to the initial review, we performed a second round of precision evaluation, during which we refined our regex expressions based on our findings from the first iteration. This iterative process not only enhanced the precision of the legal content detection, but also resulted in a reduction of the corpus size from 133GB to 106GB. Although the overall volume of data was reduced, this process significantly improved the quality and specificity of the corpus by focusing on legal content with a higher degree of precision.
A major reason for utilizing regexes instead of a Machine Learning (ML) based classifier was speed. Already when utilizing regexes, filtering through such a huge corpus like mC 4 (27TB in total, of which 10.4TB are in English) took several days. An ML model based on Bag-of-Words, Word vectors or even contextualized embeddings would a) need an annotated dataset and b) likely be much slower.


Figure 2. MultiLegalPile Text Type Distribution
Compiling Native MultiLegalPile To compile the corpus, we scraped several sources containing legal language materials. Our search was conducted in a loose manner, meaning that when we found a suitable source with legal text data, we included it in our corpus. It is important to note that we do not claim completeness, as we were unable to perform quality analysis for all available languages. For a detailed overview of sources used for the Native MULTILEGALPILE corpus, please refer to Table 9.

The majority of sources provided a link to download the
data directly. In cases where data was formatted differently, we converted it into a unified format, such as jsonl. The post-processing steps involved performing various tasks depending on the initial data format. For example, in the case of CASS, we extracted the textual data from XML tags.

Curating Eurlex Resources To curate the Eurlex resources, we utilized the eurlex R package to generate SPARQL queries and download the data. Subsequently, we converted the data into a format more amenable to handling large datasets using Python.

Integrating Pile of Law Henderson et al. (2022) released a large corpus of diverse legal text in English mainly originating from the US. We integrated the latest version with additional data (from January 8, 2023) into our corpus.

### 3.2. Description

MultilegalPile consists of four large subsets: a) Native Multi Legal Pile (112 GB), b) Eurlex Resources ${ }^{2}$ (179 GB), c) Legal MC4 ${ }^{3}$ ( 106 GB ) and d) Pile of Law (Henderson et al., 2022) (292 GB).

Figure 3 details the distribution of languages. Note that due to the integration of the Pile of Law, English is by far the most dominant language, representing over half of the words. In Figure 2 we show the distribution across text types. Caselaw makes up over half of the corpus, due to the good public access to court rulings especially in common law countries. Note, that even in civil law countries - where legislation is much more important - caselaw is usually more plentiful than legislation (as can be seen in the Swiss case in Table 9). It is hard to find publicly available contracts, leading to the relatively low percentage of the total corpus ( $<10 \%$ ), even though they could potentially make up most of the legal texts in existence (from the private sector). Note that most of the contracts in our corpus are from the US or international treaties with the EU. Table 9 in Appendix C provides additional of the MultiLegalPile, including sources and licenses.

### 3.3. Licenses and Usage of MultiLegalPile

The Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercialShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) license applied for the released MultiLegalPile corpus depends on the upstream licenses of the data subsets described above.

First, our Native Multi Legal Pile consists of data sources with different licenses. They range from restrictive licenses such as CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 up to the most liberal Creative Commons Zero (CC0) license, which, in essence, releases
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Figure 3. MultiLegalPile Language Distribution (Note the log-scaled y-axis)
the data into the public domain. Many sources, however, do not explicitly state the license used for the available data. We assume that such data sources allow pretraining usage, since the creators are usually public agencies such as courts and administrations. Such legislation and caselaw is usually not protected by copyright law. Table 9 provides an overview of the license or copyright situation for each of the 29 sources in the Native Multi Legal Pile.

Second, the Eurlex Resources is licensed under CC BY 4.0 by the European Union. ${ }^{4}$ Thus, including this corpus does not pose legal issues for pretraining.

Third, the Legal mC4 corpus was created by filtering multilingual C4 (Xue et al., 2021) for legal content as described above. As mC 4 is licensed under ODC-BY, we also release the filtered Legal mC 4 corpus under the same license.

Finally, the Pile of Law (Henderson* et al., 2022) is published under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 and the dataset is not altered, therefore the license remains the same.

Usage of the MultilegalPile corpus is presumably possible for pretraining of NLP models. In general, we assume that the fair use doctrine allows employing the data for legal NLP models because the results are rather transformative (Henderson et al., 2023). Nevertheless, copyright issues in generative AI remain an unresolved problem for the moment. Several court cases are currently pending, such as Getty Images suing Stability AI for intellectual property infringement (Sag, 2023).

## 4. Pretraining Legal Models

As part of this study, we release 2 new multi-lingual legaloriented PLMs, dubbed Legal-XLM-Rs, trained on the newly introduced MUltiLEGALPile corpus (Section 3). For the newly released Legal-XLM-Rs we followed a series of best-practices in language model development literature:
(a) We warm-start (initialize) our models from the original XLM-R checkpoints (base or large) of Conneau \& Lample (2019). Model recycling is a standard process followed by many (Wei et al., 2021; Ouyang et al., 2022) to benefit from starting from an available "well-trained" PLM, rather from scratch (random). XLM-R was trained on 2.5 TB of cleaned

[^3]CommonCrawl data in 100 languages.
(b) We train a new tokenizer of 128 K BPEs on the training subsets of MULTiLEGALPILE to better cover legal language across all available legal systems and languages. However, we reuse the original XLM-R embeddings for all lexically overlapping tokens (Pfeiffer et al., 2021), i.e., we warm-start word embeddings for tokens that already exist in the original XLM-R vocabulary, and use random ones for the rest.
(c) We continue pretraining our models on the diverse MULTILEGALPILE corpus with batches of 512 samples for an additional $1 \mathrm{M} / 500 \mathrm{~K}$ steps for the base/large model. We do initial warm-up steps for the first 5\% of the total training steps with a linearly increasing learning rate up to $1 e-4$, and then follow a cosine decay scheduling, following recent trends. For half of the warm-up phase ( $2.5 \%$ ), the Transformer encoder is frozen, and only the embeddings, shared between input and output (MLM), are updated. We also use an increased $20 / 30 \%$ masking rate for base/large models respectively, where also $100 \%$ of the predictions are based on masked tokens, compared to Devlin et al. (2019) ${ }^{5}$, based on the findings of Wettig et al. (2023).
(d) For both training the tokenizer and our legal models, we use a sentence sampler with exponential smoothing of the sub-corpora sampling rate following Conneau \& Lample (2019) and Raffel et al. (2020b), since there is a disparate proportion of tokens across sub-corpora and languages (Figures 1 and 3 ) and we aim to preserve per-corpus and language capacity, i.e., avoid overfitting to the majority (approx. $50 \%$ of the total number of tokens) US-origin English texts.
(e) We consider mixed cased models, i.e., both upper- and lowercase letters covered, similar to all recently developed large PLMs (Conneau \& Lample, 2019; Raffel et al., 2020b; Brown et al., 2020a).

To better account for long contexts often found in legal documents, we continue training the base-size multilingual model on long contexts ( 4096 tokens) with windowed attention (128 tokens window size) (Beltagy et al., 2020) for 50K steps, dubbing it Legal-XLM-LF-base. We use the standard $15 \%$ masking probability and increase the learning rate to
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| Model | Source | Params | Vocab | Specs | Corpus | \# Langs |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MiniLM | Wang et al. (2020) | 118 M | 250 K | 1 M steps / BS 256 | 2.5 TB CC100 | 100 |
| DistilBERT | Sanh et al. (2020) | 135 M | 120 K | BS up to 4000 | Wikipedia | 104 |
| mDeBERTa-v3 | He et al. (2021b;a) | 278 M | 128 K | 500 K steps / BS 8192 | 2.5TB CC100 | 100 |
| XLM-R base | Conneau et al. (2020b) | 278 M | 250 K | 1.5 M steps / BS 8192 | 2.5 TB CC100 | 100 |
| XLM-R large | Conneau et al. (2020b) | 560 M | 250 K | 1.5 M steps / BS 8192 | 2.5 TB CC100 | 100 |
| Legal-XLM-R-base | ours | 184 M | 128 K | 1 M steps / BS 512 | 689 GB MLP | 24 |
| Legal-XLM-R-large | ours | 435 M | 128 K | 500 K steps / BS 512 | 689GB MLP | 24 |
| Legal-XLM-LF-base | ours | 208 M | 128 K | 50 K steps / BS 512 | 689GB MLP | 24 |
| Legal-mono-R-base | ours | 111 M | 32 K | 200 K steps / BS 512 | 689GB MLP | 1 |
| Legal-mono-R-large | ours | 337 M | 32 K | 500 K steps / BS 512 | 689GB MLP | 1 |

Table 3. Models: All models can process up to 512 tokens, except Legal-XLM-LF-base which can process up to 4096 tokens. BS is short for batch size. MLP is short for MULTiLEGALPILE. Params is the total parameter count (including the embedding layer).
$3 e-5$ before decaying but otherwise use the same settings as for training the small-context models.

In addition to the multilingual models, we also train 24 monolingual models on each of the language-specific subsets of the corpus. Except for choosing a smaller vocab size of 32 K tokens, we use the same settings as for the multilingual models. Due to resource constraints, we only train base-size models and stop training at 200 K steps. Due to limited data available in some low-resource languages, these models sometimes do multiple passes over the data. Because of plenty of data and to achieve a better comparison on LexGLUE, we continued training the English model for 1 M steps and also trained a large-size model for 500 K steps. See Table 7 in appendix A for an overview.
We make all our models publicly available alongside all intermediate checkpoints (every $50 \mathrm{~K} / 10 \mathrm{~K}$ training steps for RoBERTa/Longformer models) on the Hugging Face Hub. ${ }^{6}$

## 5. Evaluating on LEXTREME and LexGLUE

### 5.1. Benchmark Description

Below we briefly describe each dataset. We refer the interested reader to the original papers for more details.

LEXTREME (Niklaus et al., 2023) is a multilingual legal benchmark. It includes five single label text classification datasets, three multi label text classification datasets and four Named Entity Recognition (NER) datasets.

Brazilian Court Decisions (BCD) (Lage-Freitas et al., 2022) is from the State Supreme Court of Alagoas (Brazil) and involves predicting case outcomes and judges' unanimity on decisions. German Argument Mining (GAM) (Urchs et al., 2021) contains200 German court decisions for classifying sentences according to their argumentative function. Greek Legal Code (GLC) (Papaloukas et al., 2021) tackles topic classification of Greek legislation documents. Tasks involve predicting topic categories at volume, chap-

[^5]ter, and subject levels. Swiss Judgment Prediction (SJP) (Niklaus et al., 2021) focuses on predicting the judgment outcome from 85K cases from the Swiss Federal Supreme Court. Online Terms of Service (OTS) (Drawzeski et al., 2021) contains 100 contracts for detecting unfair clauses with the tasks of classifying sentence unfairness levels and identifying clause topics. COVID19 Emergency Event (C19) (Tziafas et al., 2021): consists of legal documents from several European countries related to COVID-19 measures where models identify the type of measure described in a sentence. MultiEURLEX (MEU) (Chalkidis et al., 2021b) is a corpus of 65 K EU laws annotated with EUROVOC taxonomy labels. Task involves identifying labels for each document. Greek Legal NER (GLN) (Angelidis et al., 2018) is a dataset for NER in Greek legal documents. LegalNERo (LNR) (Pais et al., 2021) tackles NER in Romanian legal documents. LeNER BR (LNB) (Luz de Araujo et al., 2018) addresses NER in Brazilian legal documents. MAPA (MAP) (Baisa et al., 2016) is a multilingual corpus based on EUR-Lex for NER annotated at a coarse-grained and fine-grained level.

LexGLUE (Chalkidis et al., 2021d) is a legal benchmark covering two single label text classification datasets, four multi label text classification datasets and a multiple choice question answering dataset.
ECtHR Tasks A \& B (Chalkidis et al., 2019a; 2021c) contain approx. 11 K cases from the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) public database. Based on case facts, Task A involves predicting violated articles of the European Convention of Human Rights (ECHR) and Task B involves predicting allegedly violated articles. SCOTUS (Spaeth et al.) combines information from US Supreme Court (SCOTUS) opinions with the Supreme Court DataBase (SCDB). The task is to classify court opinions into 14 issue areas. EUR-LEX (Chalkidis et al., 2021a) contains 65K EU laws from the EUR-Lex portal, annotated with EuroVoc concepts. The task is to predict EuroVoc labels for a given document. LEDGAR (Tuggener et al., 2020) contains approx. 850K contract provisions from the US Securities and Exchange

| Model | BCD | GAM | GLC | SJP | OTS | C19 | MEU | GLN | LNR | LNB | MAP | Agg. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MiniLM | 53.0 | 73.3 | 42.1 | 67.7 | 44.1 | 5.0 | 29.7 | 74.0 | 84.5 | 93.6 | 57.8 | 56.8 |
| DistilBERT | 54.5 | 69.5 | 62.8 | 66.8 | 56.1 | 25.9 | 36.4 | 71.0 | 85.3 | 89.6 | 60.8 | 61.7 |
| mDeBERTa-v3 | 60.2 | 71.3 | 52.2 | 69.1 | 66.5 | 29.7 | 37.4 | 73.3 | 85.1 | 94.8 | 67.2 | 64.3 |
| XLM-R-base | 63.5 | 72.0 | 57.4 | 69.3 | 67.8 | 26.4 | 33.3 | $\mathbf{7 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 8}$ | 94.1 | 62.0 | 64.2 |
| XLM-R-large | 58.7 | 73.1 | 57.4 | 69.0 | $\mathbf{7 5 . 0}$ | 29.0 | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2}$ | 74.1 | 85.0 | $\mathbf{9 5 . 3}$ | 68.0 | 66.1 |
| Legal-XLM-R-base | 62.5 | 72.4 | 68.9 | 70.2 | 70.8 | 30.7 | 38.6 | 73.6 | 84.1 | 94.1 | $\mathbf{6 9 . 2}$ | 66.8 |
| Legal-XLM-R-large | 63.3 | 73.9 | 59.3 | 70.1 | 74.9 | $\mathbf{3 4 . 6}$ | 39.7 | 73.1 | 83.9 | 94.6 | 67.3 | 66.8 |
| Legal-XLM-LF-base | $\mathbf{7 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 9}$ | 69.8 | 26.3 | 33.1 | 72.1 | 84.7 | 93.3 | 66.2 | $\mathbf{6 6 . 9}$ |

Table 4. Dataset aggregate scores for multilingual models on LEXTREME. We report macro-F1 and the best scores in bold.

| Model | bg | cs | da | de | el | en | es | et | fi | fr | ga | hr | hu | it | lt | lv | mt | nl | pl | pt | ro | sk | sl | sv | Agg. |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MiniLM | 52.7 | 48.6 | 42.8 | 54.6 | 50.3 | 34.3 | 40.1 | 46.3 | 42.2 | 39.0 | 42.8 | 29.7 | 29.6 | 40.5 | 44.2 | 40.8 | 40.8 | 29.5 | 22.7 | 61.6 | 59.6 | 44.3 | 30.0 | 43.4 | 40.5 |  |
| DistilBERT | 54.2 | 48.6 | 46.0 | 60.1 | 58.8 | 48.0 | 50.0 | 48.8 | 49.6 | 47.9 | 51.4 | 35.9 | 31.2 | 50.1 | 51.9 | 41.5 | 44.4 | 34.6 | 34.5 | 63.2 | 63.8 | 51.3 | 36.2 | 50.1 | 46.7 |  |
| mDeBERTa-v3 | 54.1 | 51.3 | 51.7 | 63.6 | 57.7 | 50.7 | 53.3 | 50.8 | 54.6 | 49.2 | 54.9 | 37.4 | 37.5 | 55.1 | 53.9 | 47.0 | 52.5 | 42.1 | 41.0 | 65.7 | 65.3 | 55.4 | 37.5 | 56.1 | 50.5 |  |
| XLM-R-base | 56.4 | 48.3 | 48.3 | 60.6 | 57.6 | 50.1 | 47.2 | 46.7 | 48.6 | 49.4 | 50.1 | 33.6 | 32.8 | 53.4 | 50.0 | 44.1 | 43.8 | 35.2 | 41.3 | 66.1 | 63.7 | 45.3 | 33.7 | 50.0 | 47.1 |  |
| XLM-R-large | $\mathbf{5 9 . 9}$ | 56.0 | $\mathbf{5 6 . 3}$ | 65.4 | 60.8 | 56.2 | $\mathbf{5 6 . 6}$ | 56.5 | $\mathbf{5 6 . 9}$ | 51.4 | 55.4 | 42.5 | 38.1 | $\mathbf{5 8 . 5}$ | 58.1 | 49.9 | 53.9 | 39.5 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 9}$ | 42.4 | $\mathbf{5 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 7}$ |  |
| Legal-XLM-R-base | 55.6 | $\mathbf{5 8 . 8}$ | 50.4 | 63.6 | $\mathbf{6 3 . 7}$ | 66.8 | 56.3 | $\mathbf{5 7 . 0}$ | 52.6 | 50.1 | 56.6 | 38.7 | $\mathbf{5 6 . 5}$ | 56.1 | 57.2 | 49.1 | 56.0 | 41.6 | 43.9 | 68.2 | 66.1 | 55.6 | 38.6 | 54.9 | 53.5 |  |
| Legal-XLM-R-large | 57.8 | 55.6 | 50.4 | $\mathbf{6 5 . 7}$ | 60.7 | $\mathbf{6 9 . 3}$ | 55.7 | 54.5 | 56.6 | $\mathbf{5 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 2}$ | 39.7 | 39.1 | 58.1 | $\mathbf{6 0 . 6}$ | 48.4 | 57.2 | 39.4 | 45.5 | 67.3 | 65.5 | 49.3 | 39.7 | 56.4 | 53.6 |  |
| Legal-XLM-LF-base | 54.4 | 49.3 | 48.1 | 64.0 | 60.5 | 52.8 | 49.2 | 52.2 | 48.2 | 48.5 | 55.4 | 33.0 | 34.7 | 54.6 | 54.8 | 45.2 | 52.5 | 40.1 | 40.6 | 68.3 | 64.1 | 48.4 | 33.0 | 51.3 | 48.9 |  |
| NativeLegalBERT | - | - | - | - | - | 53.1 | 46.9 | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | 45.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 59.0 | - | - | - | 51.1 |
| NativeBERT | 54.8 | 57.3 | 51.2 | 63.0 | 62.3 | 52.0 | 42.6 | 47.2 | 52.4 | 49.4 | 50.1 | - | 37.4 | 47.1 | - | - | - | 37.0 | 40.5 | 66.5 | 63.1 | 44.8 | - | 55.1 | 50.2 |  |
| Legal-mono-R-base | 55.9 | 49.5 | 51.5 | 61.3 | 61.3 | 50.5 | 52.1 | 53.5 | 53.6 | 51.1 | 52.2 | $\mathbf{4 4 . 1}$ | 54.1 | 51.8 | 55.5 | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 3}$ | 34.4 | 67.1 | 61.5 | 48.8 | $\mathbf{5 3 . 4}$ | 58 | 53.5 |  |

Table 5. Language aggregate scores on LEXTREME. We report macro-F1 and best scores in bold. For each language, we also list the best-performing monolingual legal model under NativeLegalBERT, the best-performing monolingual non-legal model under NativeBERT and our monolingual legal models under Legal-mono-R-base. Missing values indicate that no suitable models were found.

Commission (SEC) filings. The task is to classify contract provisions into categories. UNFAIR-ToS (Lippi et al., 2019) contains 50 Terms of Service (ToS) from online platforms, annotated with types of unfair contractual terms. The task is to predict unfair types for a given sentence. CaseHOLD (Zheng et al., 2021) contains approx. 53K multiple choice questions about holdings of US court cases. The task is to identify the correct holding statement from a selection of five choices.

### 5.2. Experimental Setup

To ensure comparability, we followed the experimental setups described in the original papers (Niklaus et al., 2023; Chalkidis et al., 2021d) using hierarchical transformers for datasets where the sequence length of most documents exceeds the maximum sequence length of the model (Aletras et al., 2016; Niklaus et al., 2022). The hyperparameters used for running experiments on each dataset are provided in Table 8 in the appendix. To obtain Table 6, we followed Chalkidis et al. (2021d), running five repetitions with different random seeds (1-5) and reporting the test scores based on the seed that yielded the best scores on the development data. For values in Tables 4 and 5, we followed the procedure in Niklaus et al. (2023), taking the mean of the results of 3 random seeds (1-3). We show an overview of the evaluated models in Table 3.

### 5.3. Evaluation on LEXTREME

We evaluate our models on LEXTREME (Niklaus et al., 2023) and show results across datasets in Table 4 and across languages in Table 5.
We notice that our Legal-XLM-R-base model is on par with XLM-R large even though it only contains $33 \%$ of the parameters ( 184 M vs 560 M ). All our models outperform XLM-R large on the dataset aggregate score. Our base model sets a new SotA on MAPA (MAP), the large model on CoViD 19 emergency event (C19) and the Longformer on Brazilian court decisions (BCD), German argument mining (GAM), Greek legal code (GLC) and Swiss judgment prediction (SJP). Surprisingly, the legal models slightly underperform in three NER tasks (GLN, LNR, and LNB). Sensitivity to hyperparameter choice could be a reason for this underperformance (we used the same hyperparameters for all models without tuning due to limited compute resources). We see the largest improvements over prior art in Brazilian court decisions ( 72.4 vs. 63.5 ) and in Greek legal code ( 70.2 vs 62.8). Maybe these tasks are particularly hard and therefore legal in-domain pretraining helps more. For BCD especially, the large amount of Brazilian caselaw in the pretraining corpus may offer an additional explanation.
The monolingual models underperform their base model XLM-R base only in Italian, Polish, and Romanian. In some languages the monolingual model even outperforms XLM-R base clearly (Estonian, Croatian, Hungarian, Lat-

| Model | ECtHR-A | ECtHR-B | SCOTUS | EUR-LEX | LEDGAR | UNFAIR-ToS | CaseHOLD | Agg. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TFIDF+SVM * | 48.9 | 63.8 | 64.4 | 47.9 | 81.4 | 75.0 | 22.4 | 49.0 |
| BERT * | 63.6 | 73.4 | 58.3 | 57.2 | 81.8 | 81.3 | 70.8 | 68.2 |
| DeBERTa * | 60.8 | 71.0 | 62.7 | 57.4 | 83.1 | 80.3 | 72.6 | 68.5 |
| RoBERTa-base * | 59.0 | 68.9 | 62.0 | 57.9 | 82.3 | 79.2 | 71.4 | 67.5 |
| RoBERTa-large * | 67.6 | 71.6 | 66.3 | 58.1 | $\mathbf{8 3 . 6}$ | 81.6 | 74.4 | 70.9 |
| Longformer * | 64.7 | 71.7 | 64.0 | 57.7 | 83.0 | 80.9 | 71.9 | 69.5 |
| BigBird * | 62.9 | 70.9 | 62.0 | 56.8 | 82.6 | 81.3 | 70.8 | 68.4 |
| Legal-BERT * | 64.0 | 74.7 | 66.5 | 57.4 | 83.0 | $\mathbf{8 3 . 0}$ | 75.3 | 70.8 |
| CaseLaw-BERT * | 62.9 | 70.3 | 65.9 | 56.6 | 83.0 | 82.3 | 75.4 | 69.7 |
| Legal-en-R-base (ours) | 65.2 | 73.7 | 66.4 | $\mathbf{5 9 . 2}$ | 82.7 | 78.7 | 73.3 | 70.5 |
| Legal-en-R-large (ours) | $\mathbf{7 0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 7}$ | 58.4 | 82.5 | 82.4 | $\mathbf{7 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 7}$ |
| Legal-XLM-R-base (ours) | 64.8 | 73.9 | 63.9 | 58.2 | 82.8 | 79.6 | 71.7 | 69.7 |
| Legal-XLM-R-large (ours) | 68.2 | 74.2 | 67.5 | 58.4 | 82.7 | 79.9 | 75.1 | 71.4 |
| Legal-XLM-LF-base (ours) | 67.9 | 76.2 | 61.6 | 59.1 | 82.1 | 78.9 | 72.0 | 70.2 |

Table 6. Results on LexGLUE. We report macro-F1 and best scores in bold. Results from models marked with * are from Chalkidis et al. (2021d). Similar to LEXTREME, we computed the aggregate score as the harmonic mean of individual dataset results.
vian, Maltese, Dutch, Slovenian, and Swedish), and in five of them even set the new SotA for the language, sometimes clearly outperforming all other models (the Dutch model even outperforms its closest competitor mDeBERTa-v2 by 11.2 macro F1 and its base model XLM-R by almost 20 macro F1). These languages are all in the lower end of the data availability in the MultiLegalPile with the richest language (Dutch) containing only 810M words (see Figure 3). Pretraining a monolingual model on in-domain data may therefore be worth it, especially in low-resource languages.

Even though our legal Longformer model performs best on the dataset level, it performs much worse on the language level, possibly due to its lower scores in the most multilingual tasks MEU, MAP and C19 (24, 24 and 6 languages, respectively). Our legal base and large models achieve SotA in some languages, and are on aggregate almost as robust across languages as XLM-R.

Computing the final LEXTREME scores (harmonic mean of dataset aggregate and language aggregate scores), we find that the Legal-XLM-R-large is the new SotA on LEXTREME with a score of 59.5 vs 59.4 for Legal-XLM-R-base and 59.3 for XLM-R large. The legal Longformer's LEXTREME scores is with 56.5 not competitive due to its low language aggregate score.

### 5.4. Evaluation on LexGLUE

We evaluate our English and multilingual models on LexGLUE (Chalkidis et al., 2021e) and compare against baselines (see Table 6). Our models excel on the ECtHR, SCOTUS, EUR-LEX, and CaseHOLD tasks, achieving new SotA. In the other two tasks our models match generalpurpose models such as RoBERTa. A reason for slight underperformance of the legal models in the LEDGAR and
especially the Unfair ToS tasks may be the relatively low availability of contracts in the MultiLegalPile.

## 6. Conclusions and Future Work

Limitations We did not perform deduplication, thus data from the legal mC 4 part might be present in other parts. However, recent work (Muennighoff et al., 2023) suggests that data duplication does not degrade performance during pretraining for up to four epochs. Overlap between the other parts is highly unlikely, since they are from completely different jurisdictions.

Conclusions Due to a general lack of multilingual pretraining data especially in specialized domains such as law, we curate a large-scale high-quality corpus in 24 languages from 17 jurisdictions. We continue pretraining XLM-R checkpoints on our data, achieving a new SotA for base and large models on the LEXTREME benchmark and vastly outperforming previous methods in greek legal code. We turn our XLM-R base model into a Longformer and continue pretraining on long documents. It reaches a new SotA in four LEXTREME datasets and reaches the overall highest dataset aggregate score. Monolingual models achieve huge gains over their base model XLM-R in some languages and even set language specific SotA in five languages outperforming other models by as much as 11 macro F1. On LexGLUE our English models reach SotA in five out of seven tasks with the large model achieving the highest aggregate score.

Future Work We leave the pretraining of a large generative multilingual legal language model for future work. Here we limited the corpus to the EU languages due to resource constraints, but in the future, we would like to expand the corpus in terms of languages and jurisdictions covered. Especially in China there exist many accessible
sources suitable to extend the corpus. Finally, it would be very interesting to study in more detail the specific contents of the MultiLegalPile.
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| Model Name | \# Steps | Vocab Size |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Legal-bg-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-hr-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-cs-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-da-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-nl-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-en-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-en-R-large | 500 K | 32 K |
| Legal-et-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-fi-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-fr-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-de-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-el-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-hu-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-ga-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-it-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-lv-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-lt-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-mt-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-pl-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-pt-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-ro-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-sk-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-sl-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-es-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-sv-R-base | 200 K | 32 K |
| Legal-XLM-R-base | 1 M | 128 K |
| Legal-XLM-R-large | 500 K | 128 K |
| Legal-XLM-LF-base | 50 K | 128 K |

Table 7. Model Details

## A. Training Details

## B. Hyperparameter Details

| source | Dataset | Task | Task type | Hierarchical | Seeds | lower case | Batch size | Metric for best model | Evaluation strategy | Epochs | Early stopping patience | Learning rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | GLN | GLN | NER | False | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | LNR | LNR | NER | False | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | LNB | LNB | NER | False | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | 1e-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | MAP | MAP-F | NER | False | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | MAP | MAP-C | NER | False | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | 1e-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | BCD | BCD-J | SLTC | True | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | BCD | BCD-U | SLTC | True | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | GAM | GAM | SLTC | False | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | GLC | GLC-C | SLTC | True | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | GLC | GLC-S | SLTC | True | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | GLC | GLC-V | SLTC | True | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | SJP | SJP | SLTC | True | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | OTS | OTS-UL | SLTC | False | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | 1e-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | OTS | OTS-CT | MLTC | False | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | C19 | C19 | MLTC | False | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss | epoch | 50 | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | MEU | MEU-1 | MLTC | True | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss |  |  | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | MEU | MEU-2 | MLTC | True | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss |  |  | 5 | le-5 |
| (Niklaus et al., 2023) | MEU | MEU-3 | MLTC | True | 1,2,3 | True | 64 | evaluation loss |  |  | 5 | le-5 |
| (Chalkidis et al., 2021d) | ECtHR | ECtHR-A | MLTC | True | 1,2,3,4,5 | True | 8 | micro-fl | epoch | 20 | 3 | 3e-5 |
| (Chalkidis et al., 2021d) | ECtHR | ECtHR-B | MLTC | True | 1,2,3,4,5 | True | 8 | micro-fl | epoch | 20 | 3 | 3e-5 |
| (Chalkidis et al., 2021d) | EUR-LEX | EUR-LEX | MLTC | False | 1,2,3,4,5 | True | 8 | micro-fl | epoch | 20 | 3 | 3e-5 |
| (Chalkidis et al., 2021d) | SCOTUS | SCOTUS | SLTC | True | 1,2,3,4,5 | True | 8 | micro-fl | epoch | 20 | 3 | $3 \mathrm{e}-5$ |
| (Chalkidis et al., 2021d) | LEDGAR | LEDGAR | SLTC | False | 1,2,3,4,5 | True | 8 | micro-fl | epoch | 20 | 3 | $3 \mathrm{e}-5$ |
| (Chalkidis et al., 2021d) | UnfairToS | UnfairToS | MLTC | False | 1,2,3,4,5 | True | 8 | micro-fl | epoch | 20 | 3 | $3 \mathrm{e}-5$ |
| (Chalkidis et al., 2021d) | CaseHOLD | CaseHOLD | MCQA | False | 1,2,3,4,5 | True | 8 | micro-fl | epoch | 20 | 3 | $3 \mathrm{e}-5$ |

Table 8. Hyperparameters for each dataset and task. However, there were a few exceptions. For the multilingual MEU tasks, given the dataset's size, we trained them for only 1 epoch with 1000 steps as the evaluation strategy when using multilingual models. When using monolingual models, we trained for 50 epochs with epoch-based evaluation strategy, as we utilized only the language-specific subset of the dataset. Regarding LexGlue, we followed the guidelines of Chalkidis et al. (2021d) for RoBERTa-based large language models, which required a maximum learning rate of $1 \mathrm{e}-5$, a warm-up ratio of 0.1 , and a weight decay rate of 0.06 .

## C. Dataset Details

| Language | Text Type | Words | Documents | Words per Document | Jurisdiction | Source | License/Copyright |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native Multi Legal Pile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bg | legislation | 309M | 262k | 1178 | Bulgaria | MARCELL | CC0-1.0 |
|  | caselaw | 571M | 342 k | 1667 | Czechia | CzCDC Constitutional Court | CC BY-NC 4.0 |
| cs |  |  |  |  | Czechia | CzCDC Supreme Administrative Court | CC BY-NC 4.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Czechia | CzCDC Supreme Court | CC BY-NC 4.0 |
| da | caselaw | 211M | 92k | 2275 | Denmark | DDSC | CC BY 4.0 and other, depending on the dataset |
| da | legislation | 653M | 296k | 2201 | Denmark | DDSC | CC BY 4.0 and other, depending on the dataset |
| de | caselaw | 1786M | 614 k | 2905 | Germany | openlegaldata | ODbL-1.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Switzerland | entscheidsuche | similar to CC BY |
| de | legislation | 513M | 302k | 1698 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Switzerland | lexfind | not protected by copyright law |
| en | legislation | 2539M | 713k | 3557 | Switzerland | lexfind | not protected by copyright law |
|  |  |  |  |  | UK | uk-lex | CC BY 4.0 |
| fr | caselaw | 1172M | 495k | 2363 | Belgium | jurportal | not protected by copyright law |
|  |  |  |  |  | France | CASS | Open Licence 2.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Luxembourg | judoc | not protected by copyright law |
|  |  |  |  |  | Switzerland | entscheidsuche | similar to CC BY |
| fr | legislation | 600M | 253k | 2365 | Switzerland | lexfind | not protected by copyright law |
|  |  |  |  |  | Belgium | ejustice | not protected by copyright law |
| hu | legislation | 265M | 259k | 1019 | Hungary | MARCELL | CC0-1.0 |
| it | caselaw | 407M | 159k | 2554 | Switzerland | entscheidsuche | similar to CC BY |
| it | legislation | 543M | 238k | 2278 | Switzerland | lexfind | not protected by copyright law |
| nl | legislation | 551M | 243k | 2263 | Belgium | ejustice | not protected by copyright law |
| pl | legislation | 299M | 260k | 1148 | Poland | MARCELL | CC0-1.0 |
| pt | caselaw | 12613M | 17M | 728 | Brazil | RulingBR | not protected by copyright law CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 <br> not protected by copyright law |
|  |  |  |  |  | Brazil | CRETA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Brazil | CJPG |  |
| ro | legislation | 559M | 396k | 1410 | Romania | MARCELL | CC0-1.0 |
| sk | legislation | 280M | 246k | 1137 | Slovakia | MARCELL | CC0-1.0 |
| sl | legislation | 366M | 257k | 1418 | Slovenia | MARCELL | CC-BY-4.0 |
| total |  | 24236M | 23M | $1065$ | Native Multi Legal Pile |  |  |
|  | Overall statistics for the remaining subsets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| total |  | 12107M | 8M | 1457 | EU | Eurlex Resources | CC BY 4.0 |
| total |  | 43376M | 18M | 2454 | US (99\%), Canada, and EU | Pile of Law | CC BY-NC-SA 4.0; See Henderson* et al. (2022) for details |
| total |  | 28599M | 10M | 2454 |  | Legal mC4 | ODC-BY |

Table 9. Information about size and number of words and documents for Native Multi Legal Pile are provided according to language and text type. For the remaining subsets of Multi Legal Pile we provide general statistics.
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